

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/590,352	05/21/2007	Hans Van Der Laan	081468-0356680	8164	
909 PILLSBURY	7590 05/13/200 WINTHROP SHAW PI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			TON, TRI T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2877		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. 10/590,352		Applicant(s)			
		VAN DER LAAN ET AL.			
Examiner		Art Unit			
	TRI T. TON	2877			

		TRIT. TON	2077	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 22 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	rit, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejection	on.
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
have under set fo may r	issions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex- 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s- th in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	NDMENTS			
3.	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);	
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. E	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
6.			timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.16.18-20.22-33.37 and 39-41. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
9.	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief, w	vill <u>not</u> be

- entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the	attached Information	Disclosure Statement(s	 (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)
13. Other: _			

/Gregory J. Toatley, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2877

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Response to Arguments

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments see pages 9-12, filed on 04/22/09, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 11 and 22 under 102(e) have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

First, with respect to Applicants' remarks on page 10, Mieher et al. (Publication No. US 2003/0048458) discloses comparing the obtained spectral measurement data with the calibration spectral measurement data ([0047], [0080], lines 7-13), (measured spectrum is not different from spectral measurement data, and simulated spectrum is not different from calibration spectral measurement data, to determine the unknown value ([0080], lines 12-13), (the error value is reduced to the specified value. The error value is not different from unknown value) of said at least one process parameter for said substrate from said obtained spectral measurement data (paragraph [0080]) by employing said regression coefficients of said mathematical model (paragraph [0060], [0080], claims 16, 17);

Second, with respect to Applicants' remarks on pages 10-11, Mieher discloses measure spectra being interpreted into shape parameter information ((0080), lines 1-3). This does not mean that shape parameter information is the same or not the same as spectral measurement data. Shape parameter information of measurement spectra is an only type of spectral measurement data. In other words, spectral measurement data could be shape parameter information or could be numeric parameter information.

Furthermore, Applicants did not disclose that spectral measurement data, which must be numeric data or could not be shape parameter information data. More importantly, even if the Applicants disclosed that spectral measurement data could not be shape parameter information data, the conflicts are shown on figure 4 of current application; whereas, according to this figure, calibration spectrum values are converted into shape information for comparing.

May, 06 20, 2009 Examiner /TTT/